July 15, 2021

The Honorable Alan Lowenthal The Honorable Lou Correa The Honorable Katie Porter The Honorable Michelle Steel The Honorable Young Kim U.S. House of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Members of the Orange County Congressional Delegation:

The Orange County Sanitation District (OC San) writes on a matter of the utmost urgency. Over the past few years, Congress has been working to develop a reasonable approach to the treatment and disposal of the Per- and polyfluoroalkyl (PFAS and PFOA) family of chemicals. There has been significant congressional debate surrounding the most appropriate approach to ensure that those responsible for the production of these chemicals are held responsible for the costs associated with any response to contaminated waters or the byproducts of wastewater treatment. As the House prepares to debate the PFAS Action Act (H.R. 2467), we write to urge you to oppose any provision that would shift liability to public agencies.

OC San is deeply concerned that the House Committee on Energy and Commerce approved the PFAS Action Act that included provisions requiring United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) to list a portion of these forever chemicals as hazardous substances under Superfund (CERCLA) and further mandated the agency to determine whether other chemical compounds of this family should be listed.

Each of these actions would expose clean water agencies dedicated to the improvement of water quality to unknown and potentially endless (and costly) litigation related to the potential discharge of wastewater or disposal of biosolids that *might contain* PFAS chemicals. We urge you to ensure that any House-passed bill provides an explicit exemption from any CERCLA liabilities similar to that enjoyed by our nation's airports.

OC San is a passive recipient of PFAS/PFOA. We are not the creators. OC San is unable to discriminate against discharges of PFAS chemicals that have been defined as ubiquitous. These chemicals are part of our daily lives, ranging from the manufacturing of clothing to food to industrial production.

OC San is unable to mandate the elimination of the use of such chemicals. It is vital that responsibility for the control, discharge and treatment of these chemicals should be the sole responsibility of the producers.

Serving:

Anaheim

Brea

Buena Park

Cypress

Fountain Valley

Fullerton

Garden Grove

Huntington Beach

Irvine

La Habra

La Palma

Los Alamitos

Newport Beach

Orange

Placentia

Santa Ana

Seal Beach

Stanton

Tustin

Villa Park

County of Orange

Costa Mesa Sanitary District

Midway City Sanitary District

Irvine Ranch Water District

Yorba Linda Water District



OC Congressional Delegation July 15, 2021 Page 2 of 2

A decision to classify these chemicals as CERCLA hazardous substances would inevitably result in capturing agencies, like OC San, as a potentially responsible party for cleanups of contaminated waters and soils; simply because OC San was carrying out a public service, treating wastewater.

This situation is attributable to CERCLA's Joint, Several and Strict Liability regime. When CERCLA was enacted into law it was constructed to allow for cleanup of communities impacted by the indiscriminate disposal of hazardous substances at the Valley of Drums and other sites and to ensure that those responsible for the management and disposal of the chemicals would be held liable for cleanups and damages. It was never intended to assess responsibility or create an environment where legal action could be initiated against those that were not involved in the management and disposal of hazardous substances.

We note that Congress is considering legislation that would provide clean water agencies with financial assistance to implement pretreatment standards for discharges containing PFAS and to require dischargers to notify an agency of such discharges. While we appreciate this support, it fails to address the true policy and legal concern: imposing liability on public agencies that have no direct or indirect responsibility for the production, generation, or discharge of these chemicals. Only through an explicit exemption from CERCLA liability can we ensure a balanced and appropriate approach to eliminate these chemicals from our environment without imposing unreasonable and unfair costs that would simply be shifted from the responsible parties to our ratepayers.

Again, we urge you to support a commonsense approach in responding to PFAS threats by maintaining responsibility at the source of PFAS.

Sincerely,

John B. Withers Board Chairman

B Withers